

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,140	(06/14/2001	Razgo Lee	744.708	8101
26129	7590	10/18/2002			
CHAN LA		JP LC	EXAMINER		
1055 W. 7TH ST, SUITE 1880				SMITH, JAMES G	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3765	
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/881,140	LEE, RAZGO				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James G Smith	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advance event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened and the contract of the contract of the shortened and the contract of the contract of the shortened and the contract of the cont	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee effec. The appropriate extension fee under				
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant?	onths after the mailing date of the final rej	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • •					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) □ approved or b) □ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	 · /				
10. Other:		JOHNS CALVERT				
		SORY PATENT EXAMINER NOLOGY CENTER 3700				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the Examiner's opinion the amendment does not overcome the Final Rejection of 8/01/02. See specifically paragraph 5.